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The stability of a capillary jet of an elasticoviscous fluid with respect to small axisymmetric perturbations is investigated theoretically for two rheological models. It is shown that preliminary tension makes the jet more stable.

The significant retardation of the dissociation of capillary jets of elasticoviscous fluids as compared with jets of ordinary viscous fluids of comparable viscosity has been established by experiments [1-3]. At the same time a theoretical analysis of the stability of an elasticoviscous fluid jet within the framework of small perturbation theory [1] gives the opposite result, the rate of perturbation growth turns out to be higher for an elasticoviscous fluid than for a Newtonian fluid of the same initial viscosity. It is shown below that this contradiction can be eliminated if the fluid state, storing some preliminary strain, is taken as the unperturbed state. This corresponds to experimental observations according to which the "hyperstability" of elastic fluid jets is manifest after the formation of filaments experiencing strong extraction [1].
§1. Let us examine the problem of the stability of a circular, initially homogeneous jet in a quasi-onedimensional approximation which corresponds to long-wavelength perturbations.

We have the conservation equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \rho f}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho f v}{\partial x}=0  \tag{1,1}\\
\frac{\partial \rho f v}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial \rho f v^{2}}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \sigma f}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \Pi \alpha}{\partial x} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

( $\rho$ is the fluid density and the x axis is directed along the jet axis).
Let us consider two models of an elasticoviscous fluid, i.e., two kinds of relationships between the stresses and strains.

Let us initially take this relationship in the form used in [4]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \Delta \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\prime} / \Delta t-\varepsilon \theta\left[\sigma^{\prime} \mathbf{e}+\mathbf{e \sigma ^ { \prime }}-\frac{2}{3} \delta \mathrm{Sp}\left(\sigma^{\prime} \mathbf{e}\right)\right]+\sigma^{\prime}=2 \eta \mathrm{e}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The single nonzero velocity component in the approximation under consideration is the longitudinal velocity v

$$
e=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e & 0  \tag{1.4}\\
& -\frac{1}{2} e & \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2}-e
\end{array} \left\lvert\,, \quad \sigma^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
s & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} s & \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} s
\end{array}\right)\right.\right.
$$
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Using the condition of no external pressure on the side surface of the jet, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
-p-\frac{1}{2} s=-q_{\alpha} ; \quad p=q_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2} s,  \tag{1.5}\\
\sigma=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{3}{2} s-q_{\alpha} & \\
0 & -q_{\alpha} & 0 \\
0 & -q_{\alpha}
\end{array}\right\},  \tag{1.6}\\
q_{\alpha}=\alpha / \alpha .
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $q_{\alpha}$ is the capillary pressure.
We consequently obtain for s from (1.3)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial s}{\partial x}\right)-\varepsilon \theta s \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+s=2 \eta \frac{\partial v}{\partial x},  \tag{1.8}\\
\sigma=\frac{3}{2} s-q_{\alpha} . \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (1.1), (1.2), (1.8), and (1.9) form the fundamental system of equations of the quasi-one-dimensional jet motion.

Let us consider small deviations from the fundamental state, corresponding to the state of a relaxing "filament" for which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=v_{0} \equiv 0, \quad s=s_{0}=S^{0} \exp (-t / \theta) ; \quad f=f_{0} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the primes denote small deviations of the corresponding quantities from the fundamental state and let us write the equations for the perturbations. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial t}+f_{0} \frac{\partial v^{\prime}}{\partial x}=0  \tag{1.11}\\
\frac{\partial v^{\prime}}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial \sigma^{\prime}}{\partial x}+\frac{\alpha}{\rho f_{0}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Pi^{\prime}}{\partial x}+\frac{\sigma^{0}}{\rho f_{0}} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{\prime}}{\partial x}  \tag{1.12}\\
\sigma^{\prime}=\frac{3}{2} s^{\prime}-q_{a}^{\prime}  \tag{1.13}\\
\theta \frac{\partial s^{\prime}}{\partial t}+s^{\prime}-\varepsilon \theta s_{0} \frac{\partial v^{\prime}}{\partial x}=2 \eta \frac{\partial v^{\prime}}{\partial x}  \tag{1.14}\\
\theta \frac{\partial s^{\prime}}{\partial t}+s^{\prime}=2 \eta^{*} \frac{\partial v^{\prime}}{\partial x} ; \quad \eta^{*}=\eta+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \theta s_{0} . \tag{1.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

We shall henceforth be interested in "fast" processes for which the characteristic time $\tau \sim 1 / \mu$ is much less than $\theta$. The change in the quantity $s_{0}$ in time can hence be neglected, and $s_{0}$ and $\eta^{*}$ can be considered constants (an analysis of the stability of the "frozen" state).

Let us set

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}=f_{0} F e^{\mu t} \cos k x, \quad v^{\prime}=V e^{\mu t} \sin k x,  \tag{1.16}\\
\sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma e^{\mu t} \cos k x, \quad s^{\prime}=S e^{\mu t} \cos k x, \\
q_{\alpha}^{\prime}=Q e^{\mu t} \cos k x, \quad \Pi^{\prime}=\Pi e^{\mu t} \cos k x .
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu F+k V=0, \quad \Sigma=\frac{3}{2} S-Q \\
\mu V=-\frac{\Sigma}{\rho} k-\frac{\alpha \Pi}{\rho f_{0}} k-\frac{\sigma_{0} k}{\rho} F=-\frac{\Sigma k}{\rho}-\frac{\alpha^{*}}{\rho a} k F,  \tag{1.17}\\
(1+\mu \theta) S=2 \eta^{*} k V ; \quad \alpha^{*}=\alpha+a \sigma_{0}=\frac{3}{2} a S_{0} .
\end{gather*}
$$

We finally have the relationship ( $a$ is the jet radius)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Pi=2 \pi a, \quad f=\pi a^{2}, \quad q_{\alpha}^{\prime}=-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\right) a^{\prime}  \tag{1.18}\\
Q=-\frac{1}{2} a\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}-k^{2}\right) F
\end{gather*}
$$

Eliminating F, V, $\Sigma$, II, Q, and S from the relationships (1.17) and (1.18), we obtain the characteristic equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}+\frac{3 \eta^{*} k^{2} \mu}{\left(1+\mu^{\theta}\right) \rho}=\frac{\alpha^{*} k^{2}}{\rho a}+\frac{\alpha k^{2}}{2 a \rho}\left(1-k^{2} a^{2}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has been obtained for fast processes and can consequently be used only to seek the roots satisfying the inequality $\mu \theta \gg 1$. In this case it can be simplified and results in the form

$$
\mu^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{*} \theta-3 \eta^{*} a}{\rho \theta} k^{2}+\frac{\alpha k^{2}}{2 a \rho}\left(1-k^{2} a^{2}\right) .
$$

According to the formulas presented above

$$
\alpha^{*}-3 \eta^{*} a / \theta=\frac{3}{2} a s_{0}-\frac{3}{2} \text { e } \theta s_{0}-3 \eta a / \theta
$$

We therefore finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}=-\frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{(\varepsilon-1) s_{0}+2 \eta / \theta}{\rho} k^{2}+\frac{\alpha k^{2}}{2 a \rho}\left(1-k^{2} a^{2}\right) \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right side of the relationship (1.20) is negative if

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left[(\varepsilon-1) s_{0}+2 \eta / \theta\right]>\alpha / a . \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, if the inequality (1.21) is satisfied, fast-growing perturbations (with $\mu \theta \gg 1$ ) cannot exist and the growth rate of the perturbations is on the order of $1 / \theta$ (in other words, viscoelastic effects hence contribute to stabilizing the jet relative to axisymmetric perturbations).

Let us note that according to [4], namely, the case $\varepsilon>1$ corresponds to the phenomenon of becoming a strand which is typical for elasticoviscous fluids.
§2. Let us consider the same problem within the framework of the rheological model of an elasticoviscous fluid proposed by Leonov [5]. In this case all the distinctions reduce to writing the rheological relationship differently, which has the following form in its simplest version in the Leonov model [5]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \mathrm{C} / \Delta t-\mathrm{Ce}-\mathrm{eC}=-2 \mathrm{Ce}_{p}  \tag{2.1}\\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}+p \delta=2 G \mathrm{C}  \tag{2.2}\\
2 \theta \mathrm{e}_{p}=-\left(\mathrm{C}-\frac{1}{3} I_{1} \delta\right)-\left(\mathrm{C}^{-1}-\frac{1}{3} I_{2} \delta\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $C$ is the elastic strain tensor, $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are its first and second invariants, and $\delta$ is the unit tensor.
We have for the quasi-one-dimensional motion under consideration

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}-2 C \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \doteq \frac{2}{3 \theta}\left(C+C^{1 / 2}-C^{-1 / 2}-C^{-1}\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
\sigma=-q_{x}+2 C\left(C-C^{-1 / 2}\right) ; \quad C=C_{11} \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Linearizing these relationships relative to the unperturbed state ( $C_{0}, \sigma_{0}, q_{0}, v=0$ ) yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial C^{\prime}}{\partial t}+\frac{2}{3 \theta}\left[2 C_{0}+\frac{3}{2} C_{0}^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2} C_{0}^{-1 / 2}\right] C^{\prime}=2 C_{0} \frac{\partial v^{\prime}}{\partial x}  \tag{2.6}\\
\sigma^{\prime}=-q_{\alpha}^{\prime}+2 G C^{\prime}\left(1+1 / 2 C_{0}^{-3 / 2}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}=C^{+} e^{\mu t} \cos k x, \quad V^{\prime}=V e^{\mu t} \sin k x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have from (2.4) and (2.7)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu C^{+}+C^{+} / \theta^{*} & =2 C_{0} k V ; \quad \theta^{*}=3 \theta\left[4 C_{0}+3 C_{0}^{1 / 2}-C_{0}^{-1 / 2}\right]^{-1}  \tag{2.9}\\
\Sigma & =-Q+2 G C^{+}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} C_{0}^{-3 / 2}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (2.9) and (2.10) with the last relationships (1.17), we see that these relationships agree if $\theta$ and $\theta^{*}$ are identical and ${ }^{2} / 3 \mathrm{C}_{0} \theta^{*} \mathrm{G}\left(2+\mathrm{C}_{0}^{-3 / 2}\right)$ is taken as $\eta^{*}$. Consequently, we obtain the characteristic equation in the form

$$
\mu^{2}=\left(\alpha^{*}-3 a \eta^{*} / \theta^{*}\right) k^{2} / \rho+\frac{1}{2} \alpha k^{2}\left(1-k^{2} a^{2}\right) / a \rho
$$

for the "fast" perturbations. Taking account of the expressions for $\eta^{*}$ and $\theta^{*}$ and the relationship (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}=-2 G C_{0}\left(1-2 C_{0}^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) a k^{2} / \rho+\frac{1}{2} a k^{2}\left(1-k^{2} a^{2}\right) / a \rho \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for sufficiently high initial tensions $\mathrm{C}_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 C_{0} G\left(1-2 C_{0}^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) a>\alpha \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the right side of (2.11) is negative for all wave numbers $k$ and growth of the perturbations turns out to be impossible.
§3. Up to now only one destabilizing factor, the surface tension, was taken into account. The dynamic action of the air can also turn out to be essential for capillary jets moving in air at sufficiently high velocities. Considering the air motion relative to the jet to be potential, then following Weber [6] the appropriate characteristic equation can easily be obtained in the long-wavelength approximation. The component

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{1} / \rho\right) a k^{3} f_{0}(k a) U^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is hence added to the right side of the appropriate equation [(1.19) or (2.11)], where $\rho_{1}$ is the air density, U is the air velocity relative to the jet, $\mathrm{f}_{0}$ is the function introduced by Weber ( $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ is the Macdonald function):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(\xi)=-K_{0}(\xi) / K_{0}^{\prime}(\xi) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the long wavelength domain $a k<1, f_{0}<1$. Hence, the sufficient condition for jet stabilization (neglecting capillary forces) has the following respective forms for the models considered in Secs. 1 and 2:

$$
\begin{align*}
3(\varepsilon-1) s_{0}+6 \eta / \theta & >\rho_{1} U^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
4 C_{0} G\left(1-2 C_{0}^{-3 / 2}\right) a & >\rho_{1} U^{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In other words, elastic tension stabilizes the capillary jet around which air flows, with respect to axisymmetric perturbations if the "elastic" stresses are on the order of the dynamic head of air.

This last result should be considered qualitative since the assumption about the potential nature of the airflow exaggerates the effect of the air (see [7], for instance), however, it yields a correct representation about the necessary order of the stabilizing stresses.

## NOTATION

```
\rho is the density;
\eta is the viscosity;
\alpha is the surface tension;
0 is the fluid relaxation time;
a,f}=\pi\mp@subsup{a}{}{2}
and II = 2\pia are the radius, area, and perimeter of the jet section;
x
v is the longitudinal velocity;
\sigma is the axial stress;
\sigma
s is its axial component;
\Delta/\Deltat is the symbol of the Jaumann derivative;
e is the strain rate tensor;
p is the pressure;
q}\alpha<\quad\mathrm{ is the capillary pressure;
\mu
\tau
k is the wave number; the subscript 0 denotes the unperturbed values and the prime denotes per-
    turbations;
    is the elastic shear modulus;
G
\varepsilon is a dimensionless constant.
```
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